	ed States Patent ai	nd Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPARTM United States Patent and T Address: COMMISSIONER FOR F PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-14: www.uspto.gov	rademark Office ATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,620	08/15/2001	Chad A. Mirkin	00-714-G	9430
20306 7	590 09/26/2003			14
MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER	
			HARLAN, ROBERT D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/830,620	MIRKIN ET AL.
."	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Robert D. Harlan	1713
Period fo A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	
- Exten after : - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by se poly received by the Office later than three months after the r d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma n. a reply within the statutory minimum o' priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) I fatute, cause the application to becom	f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. WONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur on of Claims	lowance except for formal ider <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits i C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,	
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) <u>1-85</u> are subject to restriction and on <b>Papers</b>	d/or election requirement.	
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	niner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(	a)).
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	nestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat
a	i) The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application ha	as been received.
Attachmer			
2) 🗌 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) 🗌 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r:
	rademark Office		

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-42, drawn to a method of preparing nanoparticles.

Group II, claim(s) 43-53, drawn to initiator monomer.

Group III, claim(s) 54-59, 73-74 and 76-82, drawn to a method of detection.

Group IV, claim(s) 60-65, 75 and 83-85, drawn to a kit.

Group V, claim(s) 66-69, drawn to a binding monomer.

Group VI, claim(s) 70-72, drawn to a polymer.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the groups relate to the following inventions nanoparticle, monomer, detection method, kit, binding monomer and polymer.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to

'n.

lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

(1) nanoparticle, (2) monomer, (3) detection method, (4)kit, (5) binding monomer and (6) polymer

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each group requires a different mode operation.

5. A telephone call was made to Emily Miao on 09/15/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM - 8 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

when v. Ha

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh